

Submission Date: April 2022

(Please remember that the HIP is for new initiatives/measures/schemes in your community – it is NOT to be used as a maintenance log, as these MUST be logged using the online reporting tool via this link https://www.kent.gov.uk/roads-and-travel/report-a-problem)

HIP Front Cover

HIP Version				Record Of Meeting Dates with KCC Virtual or Face to Face			County Member		
1						Sue	Chandler		
Please li initiative			nding oppo	rtunities	s/Sources for HIP		I.e.,	County Men	nber, Parish Precept Donation, LTP
Are you Speed W			per of the	Yes □ No ⊠	Are you an acti		Yes No [
Name of Represe					Contact Telephone Number			Email Address	
Name of	Clerk	Kevin Lynch		Contact Telephone Number	07464 550069	1	Email Address	worthparishcouncil@outlook.com	
Name of	Name of Chair Michael Heard		Contact Telephone Number			Email Address			
KCC Pro Manager	_	Community & Engagement Team (EAST)		Contact Telephone Number	03000 418181		Email Address	east.highwayimprovements@kent.gov.uk	

• Please note the Priority column <u>MUST</u> be those issues which are regarded as the most important (No 1 being your highest priority, then filtering down) KCC is unable to guarantee that all your requests will be deliverable, but Project Managers can investigate your top 1 or 2 priorities <u>per year</u>.



Live Priorities Record

Duiouitus	Lasatian	LICDNI	Dualdans /Canaann	What do you fool are the	VCC Comments /This column is to be completed by
Priority	Location	USRN	Problem/Concern	What do you feel are the	KCC Comments (This column is to be completed by
-	_ , , , ,		_ ,,	potential solutions?	Project Manager ONLY)
1.	Felderland	11300471	<u>Problems:</u>	<u>Suggested Remedies</u>	04/07/22
	Lane, Worth		a) Felderland Lane is a 'rat run'	a) A route already exists that is	Parish to look into Speedwatch
			between the Eastry bypass and the	more than suitable for all types of	There is more information available on Speedwatch in this link:
			Deal Road, as such the volume of traffic	traffic and volumes – this being	https://www.kent.police.uk/advice/community-
			using it, especially at peaks times is	the main single carriageway	support/speedwatch/
			beyond what the Lane is fit for purpose	highway that bypasses	
			for.	Felderland Lane completely.	KCC to look into roundels & speak to the Behavioural Insights
			b) Despite the 30mph speed limit,	b) Ensure that measures are put	Team, Sustrans Team and Active Travel Team.
			traffic in general tends to exceed this	in place that do not impede	
			c) The Lane is single carriageway in	traffic flow along the Lane but	Speed
			places, has no pedestrian pavement	that do discourage the current	Already have a SID
			and has no street lighting	volume of traffic and limit its	Discussed speed results – KCC determine there is not a speeding
			d) The junctions at both ends of the	speed accordingly. Such	issue for the current 30mph
			Lane are notorious accident blackspots.	measures should be designed to	Discussed the speeds are too high to implement a 20mph as
			Multiple collisions each year occur, the	ensure that the main	these need to be self-enforcing. (Mean speeds 27.6, 30.3, 27.7)
			last being at the Worth end involving a	carriageway route is more	
			car destroying the utility room of Lime	desirable to drivers.	Street lighting
			Cottage. Reducing the amount of		Please see below the Department of Transport guidance for
			traffic turning right from either end will		traffic calming criteria (Any '.gov' website will have the recent
			reduce accidents.	Conclusion:	specifications)
			e) Some residents have their front	The above remedies will ensure	
			doors opening directly onto the Lane	the health and safety of both the	dft traffic calming - Google Search
			which can cause a particular risk when	residents of Felderland Lane and	
			exiting their homes	those who choose to use the Lane	'Runover' buildout
			f) Due to the nature of certain	either as pedestrians, cyclists,	It might be feasible, on the wider sections, to introduce a
			properties, it is necessary for some	drivers or on horseback.	'runover' buildout that would act as a priority system. Is this
			residents to park on the street. This		something you would like us to investigate?
			further compounds the accessibility		
			issues along the Lane and makes it		KCC have passed this to our Planning & Advice Team to
			more dangerous.		investigate
			g) Capacity issues will be further		
			increased by approved planning		Lining
			permission for some 180 dwellings in a		30mph roundels at all 30mph signs throughout? (The existing
			nearby Parish		30mph repeaters are adequate) KCC to investigate



					Any damaged repeaters can be report via the online reporting tool for the Highway Steward to replace. Report a problem on a road or pavement - Kent County Council
2.	The Street/Jubilee	11301275/ 11300663	Problems (a) Parking of vehicles on the bend by	Suggested Remedies (a) Double Yellow Lines/20mph in	04/07/22 Discussed parking issues by the church and down Jubilee Way
	Road		the church. Buses have been prevented from making the turn on occasion. (b) Lack of a pavement in parts of Jubilee Road (c) Speeding through the village. (d) Jubilee road /main road A258 junction is dangerous and in need of lighting to improve the visibility of the junction and also better road signage on both the main road (A258) and Jubilee Road	the Village/building out of pavements/a car park (b) Improve the lighting and signage as at (d) above. (c) Lower speed limit on the A258 (d) Jubilee Road to be widened. (e) a 20mph speed limit from the A258. (f) Create or renew double yellow lines on Jubilee Way	KCC to assess DYLs by the church The Street – 20mph outside the school Speed surveys to be carried out by the school (KCC to fund)
3.					



Historical Priorities Record

No	Location	USRN	Problem/Concern	What do you feel are the potential solutions?	KCC Comments
	Coventon Lane Bridle Path	11300471	Problems (a) There have been many cases over the past few months, and this week, of cars, lorries and delivery vans trying to drive the full/part of this path from Sandwich Tech to The Street. When questioned as to why they were using it, they replied that their SatNav sent them that way!! Parts of the path are just over 1 metre wide, but they just continue. This is a popular path used by horses, dog walkers and pedestrians so any help you can offer would be very much appreciated.	Suggested Remedy (a) Would it be possible to look at better/bigger signage at The Street end of the bridle way and better signage at the other end, opposite Sandwich Tech. (b) Gates/bollards/physical barriers at each end (including key holder access).	Unfortunately, this is a private road and not something we would look after, although we have had a look for you. Log an enquiry – It could possibly be the responsibility of DDC? PROW? Report a problem on a road or pavement - Kent County Council Unsuitable for HGV signage o/s properties Horbury or Elstan? Spoken to Streetworks & Brexit teams – unfortunately, we do not have contacts with Satnav companies. The issue is HGV drivers use car Satnav's as they are cheaper, and they do not have the traffic updates - as you have to pay for a license. Highway boundary: Private Street



		USRN Detail: 11304048 - Coventon Lane, Worth
		Street details
		USRN Record Type Street Locality Town County Unofficial name Version Entry date 11304048 1 Coventon Lane - Worth Kent - 1 29-Jul-07
		Special Designation
		Special Designation Description Street location From To Periodicity Start date End date Start time End No details available
		Reinstatement Designation
		Reinstatement Designation Street location From To Source 1 Private Street (No Designation Information Held By Street Authority) Kent County Council
		Road Status
		Road status Start date End date Street location From To Source 1 Other 04-Apr-13 Kent County Council
(a) Difficult right turn	Suggested Remedies	Maintenance issue. Log via portal
(vegetation and nursery sign	(a) Better signage and regular pruning of	Report a problem on a road or pavement - Kent County
hinders good visibility.	vegetation.	<u>Council</u>
(-) The feetweeth in the eventual	Commented Develope	Advistance in the second second
		Maintenance issue. Log via portal Report a problem on a road or pavement - Kent County
	· ·	Council
aunger eus	inar assamaning areas	Council
Problems:	Suggested Remedies	Speed
a) Felderland Lane is a 'rat	a) A route already exists that is more	Speed survey undertaken in June 2021 - See attached speed
run' between the Eastry	than suitable for all types of traffic and	survey summary. This concludes that compliance is
		adequate at 3 locations, east, mid and westbound.
		The validity of this data is refuted as the second company
_ , , , , ,	• •	The validity of this data is refuted as the speed survey results are not fully published. The speeds recorded we
The state of the s	The state of the s	believe are reviewed as an average but no indication is
b) Despite the 30mph speed	along the Lane but that do discourage	given as to what the maximum speeds observed were. This
limit, traffic in general tends	the current volume of traffic and limit	is relevant as it will not be the majority obeying the limit
to exceed this	its speed accordingly. Such measures	that will cause the problem, it will be the odd 'lunatic' using
c) The Lane is single	should be designed to ensure that the	the lane as a race track that will kill or injure someone. In
		addition to speeds, this survey should also be able to provide
		an indication of traffic volumes using the lane – again this is
		very relevant and needs to be made public.
		Traffic survey results sent to PC 22/06/22.
		KCC work from average speeds
	(vegetation and nursery sign hinders good visibility. (a) The footpath in its current condition and location is dangerous Problems: a) Felderland Lane is a 'rat run' between the Eastry bypass and the Deal Road, as such the volume of traffic using it, especially at peaks times is beyond what the Lane is fit for purpose for. b) Despite the 30mph speed limit, traffic in general tends to exceed this	(a) The footpath in its current condition and location is dangerous Problems: a) Felderland Lane is a 'rat run' between the Eastry bypass and the Deal Road, as such the volume of traffic using it, especially at peaks times is beyond what the Lane is fit for purpose for. b) Despite the 30mph speed limit, traffic in general tends to exceed this c) The Lane is single carriageway in places, has no pedestrian pavement and has no street lighting d) The junctions at both ends of the Lane are notorious Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area. Suggested Remedies (a) Make the footpath a full hardstanding area.



collisions each year occur, the last being at the Worth end involving a car destroying the utility room of Lime Cottage. Reducing the amount of traffic turning right from either end will reduce accidents.

e) Some residents have their front doors opening directly onto the Lane which can cause a particular risk when exiting their homes f) Due to the nature of certain properties, it is necessary for some residents to park on the street. This further compounds the accessibility issues along the Lane and makes it more dangerous. g) Capacity issues will be further increased by approved planning permission for some 180 dwellings in a nearby Parish

a) The previously proposed measures by KCC Highways to restrict a right turn onto Deal Road from the Lane and to ban a left turn from Deal Road into the Lane were perfectly satisfactory and sensible. This solution should be explored again.

b) If option a) is not possible, KCC should place physical restrictions along the length of the Lane in the form of full width speed bumps at regular intervals and to restrict the width of the Lane to single carriageway at 3 or 4 points along its length.

c) Make it "Access only".

d) Some time ago, Mr. Mackinlay MP suggested that the Lane should be completely blocked around midway by an opening gate (to allow farm freight to use the entire length of the Lane). This was a somewhat 'nuclear' option but would easily solve all the issue.

Conclusion:

The above remedies will ensure the health and safety of both the residents of Felderland Lane and those who choose to use the Lane either as pedestrians, cyclists, drivers or on horseback.

Crash data

Personal injury crash data does not show any recorded crashes at the junction recently. The speeds do not appear to be excessive.

Crash data analysis (data up to and including December 2020) reported that there were 4 slights and 2 serious (including both junctions):

<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>
1 slight	2 slights	1 slight
1 serious		1 serious

no reported accidents since 2019 (data up to and including December 2020)

The crash data is in error. Please check back in your records to the incident noted in point 1.(d) which resulted in destruction of property. Photos of this accident are available on request if KCC are unable to reconcile their records.

Crash data is personal injury only, not damage to property

Street lighting

Traffic calming is not always appropriate in all locations and can have associated negative effects including noise and vibration impacts. Please detail the negative effects of traffic calming and how excess noise and vibration are generated and which method of traffic calming these are specifically associated with

Traffic calming, e.g. speed humps, can cause vibration to nearby properties.

A prerequisite for any traffic calming feature in this location would be a permanent system of street lighting, whilst there is none at present.



		Having said that, we requested a quote from Streetlighting 15/06/21 to see how much it would be to light the whole of Felderland Lane. Their response: Unfortunately, there is no adopted highway land along this road available to install any new streetlights. The existing lighting is owned by Dover District Council not KCC, there is also many overhead cables which would cause issues with installing columns. Also, there is probably no underground UKPN supply available as everything seems to be overhead supplied. Is it operational, or has been removed or switched off? We are led to believe that DDC were undertaking their own LED upgrade scheme for their lighting. There is no footpath or verge in which to locate any KCC lighting, due to ownership. The only physical place they could go would be in the carriageway which is obviously not an acceptable location due to the inherent safety implications. We find the logic behind this response difficult to understand. Whilst there is an inherent safety risk in placing a lamppost in the carriageway, KCC do not seem overly concerned that a pedestrian could be easily knocked over due to a lack of street lighting. WPC do not request that lampposts are placed in the carriageway, instead we ask that KCC / DDC recognise the dangers presented to pedestrians when using an unlit are of the Lane. There are pedestrian warning signs in place, to warn drivers there might be pedestrians in the road and to take extra care. It might be feasible, on the wider sections, to introduce a 'runover' buildout that would act as a priority system. Is this something you would like us to investigate? Yes! This can be investigated but would need to be funded by the PC
		by the PC



	Making the road a 'Access only' or 'No through route' (e.g. completely blocked) This would have the same response/ outcome as the 'No right-hand turns' consultation.
	No right-hand turns We cannot implement due to rejections at consultation and JTB refusal.
	For further information see email dated 29/06/21
	Referring to the above two points, and in particular the JTB consultation, we again refute the findings of this exercise as the methodology employed was flawed. The final decision that was taken in this consultation was based on a poll of people either in favour of the junction changes or against it. As was pointed out to KCC at the time, there are a finite number of people likely to vote in favour – ie those living along the lane and using it as pedestrians etc., as such the voting pool is limited. Conversely the quantity of people likely to vote against is far higher and driven by their desire to maintain a route for the sake of convenience.
	The consultation process was followed, and the decision was made to reject the proposal. KCC have no plans to reconsult.
	Possible solutions: Signage Improvement Width restriction signage — A256 end: Extra sign so you can see clearly when approaching from the West, before you commit to turning into Felderland Lane. Grey back all width signage (3no.)
	A258 Deal Rd end: Move signs further out so you can see them before turning into Felderland Lane. (Install additional post, as it is currently on the back of the 'Give Way' sign).



		Extra sign so you can see clearly when approaching from the
		Dover/ Deal way. To be grey backed.
		Other signage – Current road narrow signage to be yellow backed.
		Reported issues: Reported overhanging tree to operations team on 24/06/21. This blocks the signage when you come from Dover/Deal end.
		Reported Brexit signage blocking give way sign to operations team on 24/06/21.
		Lining Edge of carriageway markings to narrow road visually and guide vehicles away from property front doors.
		30mph roundels at all 30mph signs throughout (The existing 30mph repeaters are adequate)
		Reported issues: Reported worn junction markings to operations team on 24/06/21.
		Whilst increased and better visible signage is always welcome, we do not believe this will have any positive impact on the habitual use of Felderland Lane as a 'rat run'. A physical deterrent to reduce volume and limit speed is required.
		Unfortunately, a physical deterrent is not something KCC would support, and the speed surveys suggest there is not a speeding issue, as the mean speeds are below the enforcement threshold. The 30mph toolkit might be something the PC wish to explore?



				Lorry watch The issue of lorries using unsuitable routes is a difficult problem to deal with. On a strategic level the council has adopted a Freight Action Plan that has a specific objective to try and tackle the routing of HGV's. The intention is to encourage the use of strategic roads for the transportation of goods across the county to minimise the impact on communities. However, it must be recognised that the economy needs be supported, which means that local companies will sometimes use smaller roads to continue their businesses. There is a scheme we can help set up called Lorry Watch. This scheme aims to empower local residents to record the details of HGVs that are inappropriately using a road. This data is passed to the Freight Officer at KCC who liaises with the Police when a restriction has been broken. In this instance, there would be no law broken but the benefit of collecting this data would be to build a picture of the numbers of lorries using the road and which companies are using it. The Freight Officer has offered to contact the businesses who regularly use the lane and discuss alternative route selection. Lorry Watch has proven very successful in a number of other locations around Kent. We are told that the temporary Lorry Watch signing alone has shown significant decreases in HGV traffic in some areas. Further information can be found at https://www.kent.gov.uk/roads-and-travel/travelling-around-kent/lorries-and-hqv/lorry-watch.
The Street/Jubilee Road	11301275/ 11300663	Problems (a) Parking of vehicles on the bend by the church. Buses have been prevented from making the turn on occasion. (b) Lack of a pavement in parts of Jubilee Road	Suggested Remedies (a) Double Yellow Lines/20mph in the Village/building out of pavements/a car park (b) Improve the lighting and signage as at (d) above. (c) Lower speed limit on the A258 (d) Jubilee Road to be widened.	a) DYLs o/s church, opp The Street junction (Parish to fund – TRO £2,500 Design & Civils £700) b) There is a pavement one side throughout Jubilee Rd c) Speed surveys to be carried out through the village – Parish to advise locations d) Crash data analysis (data up to and including December 2020) shows there has only been 1 slight on Jubilee Road j/w A258 in the last 3 years.



	(c) Speeding through the village. (d) Jubilee road /main road A258 junction is dangerous and in need of lighting to improve the visibility of the junction and also better road signage on both the main road (A258) and Jubilee Road	(e) a 20mph speed limit from the A258. (f) Create or renew double yellow lines on Jubilee Way	Renewing lines is a Maintenance issue. Log via portal Report a problem on a road or pavement - Kent County Council 04/07/22 The Street - 20mph outside the school? Do ATC (KCC to fund)
--	---	---	--